

**AGENDA**

Senate of the Urbana-Champaign Campus

November 13, 2017

3:10 – 5:15 pm

**ILLINI UNION – BALLROOM****I. Call to Order** – Interim Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs and Provost John Wilkin**II. Approval of Minutes** – October 23, 2017**III. Senate Executive Committee Report** – Chair Bettina Francis**IV. Chancellor’s Remarks** – Interim Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs and Provost John Wilkin**V. Questions/Discussion****VI. Consent Agenda***Consent Agenda items are only distributed online at <http://www.senate.illinois.edu/20171113a.asp>*

EP.18.12	Proposal to Add Philosophy to the List of Majors Available for the BSLAS in Computer Science and an LAS Discipline (CS + X), from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

EP.18.13	Proposal to Add Economics to the list of Majors Available for the LAS Major in Computer Science and an LAS Discipline (CS + X) from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

EP.18.15	Proposal to Relocate the M.S. and the Ph.D. in Biology with a Concentration in Ecology, Ethology, and Evolution from the School of Integrative Biology to the Department of Animal Biology, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

EP.18.16	Proposal to Establish a Master of Science in Actuarial Science and Eliminate the Actuarial Science Concentration within the Master of Science in Applied Mathematics, one year after the Master of Science in Actuarial Science is established, College of LAS	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

EP.18.18	Proposal to Establish the Master of Animal Sciences in Animal Sciences as a Self-Supporting Program from the College of ACES	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

EP.18.19	Proposal to Establish a Master of Science in Molecular & Cellular Biology (M.S. in MCB) from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	---	---

EP.18.22	Proposal to Rename and Revise the Undergraduate Minor in Religious Studies, College of LAS	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

**VII. Proposals (enclosed)**

EP.18.27	Request for the Senate’s advice to seek Board of Trustees’ approval for the naming of the Gies College of Business to recognize donor contribution	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>
----------	--	---

CC.18.08	Nominations to the Joint Committee on Investment, Licensing, and Naming Rights	Committee on Committees <i>N. O'Brien, Chair</i>	5
CC.18.09	Nominations for Membership on Standing Committees of the Senate and Representatives to Other Bodies	Committee on Committees <i>N. O'Brien, Chair</i>	7
SP.14.06	Proposed Revisions to the <i>Statutes</i> , Article XIII, Section 8 – to authorize the University Senates Conference to initiate revisions to the <i>Statutes</i> (First Reading; Information)	University Statutes and Senate Procedures <i>S. Gilmore, Chair</i>	9
SP.17.07	Proposed Revision to <i>Standing Rule 13</i> – Formation, Termination, Separation, Transfer, Merger, Change in Status, or Renaming of Units	University Statutes and Senate Procedures <i>S. Gilmore, Chair</i>	15
SP.17.15	Revision to the <i>Bylaws</i> , Part D.10 – Senate Committee on Equal Opportunity and Inclusion	University Statutes and Senate Procedures <i>S. Gilmore, Chair</i>	17
SP.18.03	Revisions to the <i>Bylaws</i> , Part D.7 – Conference on Conduct Governance	University Statutes and Senate Procedures <i>S. Gilmore, Chair</i>	19
SP.18.06	Revision to the <i>Bylaws</i> , Part D.14 – Committee on Information Technology	University Statutes and Senate Procedures <i>S. Gilmore, Chair</i>	21

#### **VIII. Reports for Information (enclosed)**

EP.18.26	Report of Administrative Approvals through November 6, 2017	Educational Policy <i>G. Miller, Chair</i>	23
----------	---	---	----

#### **IX. New Business**

*Matters not included in the agenda may not be presented to the Senate without concurrence of a majority of the members present and voting. Items of new business may be discussed, but no action can be taken.*

#### **X. Adjournment**

**SENATE OF THE URBANA-CHAMPAIGN CAMPUS**

October 23, 2017

## Minutes

A regular meeting of the University of Illinois Senate of the Urbana-Champaign Campus was called to order at 3:10 pm in the Illini Union Ballroom with Vice Provost for Academic Affairs William Bernhard presiding and Dr. Jeffrey A. Stein serving as Parliamentarian.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

10/23/17-01 The minutes from September 18, 2017, were approved as distributed.

**SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT**

Bettina Francis (LAS), a faculty senator and Chair of the Senate Executive Committee (SEC), discussed how this time during the semester, an unbroken five-week period of instruction, can be quite stressful for everyone. Francis encouraged attendees that if they see signs of stress in an individual, to recommend they contact the Counseling Center or go to McKinley Health Center. The Counseling Center phone number 333-3704.

**CHANCELLOR'S REMARKS**

Vice Provost Bernhard relayed Chancellor Jones thanks to the Senate for hosting the Annual Meeting of the Faculty and the Chancellor's regrets for missing today's meeting.

**QUESTIONS/DISCUSSION**

Faculty senator Gilmore (LAS) inquired about the progress of the formation of the task force on free speech. Bernhardt noted that free and safe speech is one of the important topics on campuses right now, and anticipates that the task force will be formed in the next couple of weeks. Bernhardt also mentioned the Chancellor's Critical Conversations series. The idea for the Critical Conversations series is to bring people together from various sides of an issue with the discussions moderated by experts in the area being discussed.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Hearing no objections, the following proposals were approved by unanimous consent.

10/23/17-02 EP.18.01\* Proposal to Establish the Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Advertising within the College of Media

10/23/17-03 EP.18.05\* Proposal to Establish an Undergraduate Minor in Economics in the Department of Economics from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences

10/23/17-04 EP.18.08\* Proposal to Establish a New Bachelor of Arts in Studio Art (with Concentrations) in the School of Art and Design, College of Fine and Applied Arts

10/23/17-05 EP.18.09\* Proposal to Establish A New Bachelor of Fine Arts in Studio Art (with concentrations) in the School of Art and Design, College of Fine and Applied Arts

10/23/17-06 EP.18.14\* Proposal to Establish a Bachelor of Science in Liberal Arts and Sciences (BSLAS) in Econometrics and Quantitative Economics within the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences

**PROPOSALS**

10/23/17-07 CC.18.04: Nominations to the Joint Committee on Investment, Licensing, and Naming Rights

On behalf of the Senate Committee on Committees, Chair O'Brien introduced and moved approval of proposal CC.18.04. There were no nominations from the floor.

10/23/17-08 By i>clicker, proposal CC.18.04 was approved with 117 in favor and 3 opposed.

10/23/17-09 CC.18.06: Nomination for Membership to the Research Policy Committee

On behalf of the Senate Committee on Committees, Chair O'Brien introduced and moved approval of proposal CC.18.06. There were no nominations from the floor.

10/23/17-10 By i>clicker, proposal CC.18.06 was approved with 122 in favor and 2 opposed.

10/23/17-11 CC.18.07: Nominations for Membership on Standing Committees of the Senate and Governing and Advisory Bodies

On behalf of the Senate Committee on Committees, Chair O'Brien introduced proposal CC.18.07. There were no nominations from the floor.

10/23/17-12 The following vote totals were reported for the vacancy on Admissions.

Rochelle Sennet (FAA) 73

Jorge Lucero (FAA) 41

10/23/17-13 By i>clicker, Sennet was elected to fill the FAA vacancy on Admissions.

10/23/17-14 The following vote totals were reported for the vacancy on Educational Policy.

Rummana Alam (LAW) 45

Samantha Frost (LAS) 82

10/23/17-15 By i>clicker, Frost was elected to fill the faculty vacancy on Educational Policy.

10/23/17-16 By i>clicker, the remainder of the slate of nominees on CC.18.07 was approved with 128 in favor and 5 opposed.

10/23/17-17 SC.18.06: Election of a Faculty Member to the Committee on Committees

On behalf of the SEC, Chair Francis introduced proposal SC.18.06. There were no nominations from the floor.

10/23/17-18 The following vote totals were reported.

Lawrence DeBrock (BUS) 53

Daniel Gilbert (LER) 67

10/23/17-19 By i>clicker, Gilbert was elected to the Committee on Committees.

#### **REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**

10/23/17-20 SC.17.16\* Report on the September 7, 2017, meeting of the Board of Trustees

10/23/17-21 EP.18.07\* EPC Report of Administrative Approvals through October 9, 2017

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

None.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:32 pm.

Jenny Roether, Senate Clerk

\*Filed with the Senate Clerk and incorporated by reference in these minutes.  
A video recording of these proceedings can be found at <https://go.illinois.edu/senate>



---

## Request for Advice – Academic Senate

### **ACTIVITY:**

Seeking Board of Trustees approval for naming of campus program in recognition of substantial donor contribution - Gies College of Business

### **SUBMITTED BY:**

Robert J. Jones, Chancellor

### **COLLEGE CONTACT:**

Jeffrey R. Brown, Dean of the College of Business

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION:**

Consistent with the University Statutes and General Rules, the chancellor will submit for approval by the president and the Board of Trustees the naming of the College of Business as the Gies College of Business, in recognition of a substantial donation by an alumnus. The College's executive committee has voted unanimously in support of this recognition, and pursuant to the Campus Administrative Manual, the chancellor seeks the advice of the Academic Senate.

### **SUMMARY:**

The College of Business at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign provides a world-class educational experience for the next generation of business leaders. For more than 100 years, the College has delivered on the promise of innovation in business education, with a commitment to cutting-edge curriculum design and delivery, exceptional experiential learning opportunities, and unparalleled academic excellence. Its faculty prepare more than 5,100 students, including 3,100 undergraduates, to be at the forefront of innovation and leadership in the global economy.

Thanks to the generosity of College of Business alumnus Larry Gies and his wife Beth, the College of Business is poised to enhance its' core mission through several key initiatives that will deliver undergraduate excellence, create exceptional graduate programs, recruit and retain world-class faculty scholars, expand the boundaries of theoretical and applied business knowledge and use technology to democratize education. The donors' intent is to create an immediate and lasting impact for current and future students of the College through their \$150 million gift. The Gies family has made clear that their priority for this funding is to ensure affordability and access for generations of students, as well as the faculty to support those students.

In recognition of this transformational gift, the chancellor intends to request Board of Trustees approval to officially name the college as the “Gies College of Business.” The College’s executive committee voted unanimously in support of this recognition. Article V, Section 4(b) of the General Rules provides that the naming of University programs for donors, among others, lies within the authority of the Board of Trustees. Section 4 further authorizes the chancellor to develop campus procedures for internal review and approval prior to submission of the item to the Board for consideration. The Campus Administrative Manual states that the chancellor shall seek the advice of the Academic Senate prior to submitting naming requests to the Board for action. In accordance with this requirement and in recognition of the faculty’s important role in the careful consideration of transformational opportunities, the chancellor seeks the advice of the Senate prior to the Board’s consideration of this naming request at its November 16, 2017 meeting.

This naming recognition for the substantial contribution toward the advancement of the College of Business does not involve the renaming of the Business Instructional Facility nor any change in academic organization of the college.

Office of the Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic  
Affairs

Swanlund Administration Building  
601 East John Street  
Champaign, IL 61820



November 4, 2017

Gay Miller, Chair  
Senate Committee on Educational Policy  
Office of the Senate  
228 English Building, MC-461

Dear Professor Miller:

Enclosed is a request for the Senate's advice to seek Board of Trustees' approval for the naming of the Gies College of Business to recognize donor contribution.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Kathryn A. Martensen'.

Kathryn A. Martensen  
Assistant Provost

Enclosures

c: R. Jones  
J. Brown  
J. Wilkin



**UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE**  
Committee on Committees  
(Final; Action)

CC.18.08 Nominations to the Joint Committee on Investment, Licensing, and Naming Rights

**BACKGROUND**

The Committee shall provide advice to the Chancellor’s Office on issues of social responsibility related to campus investment, licensing, and naming rights consistent with the values of the university. Issues within its responsibility include, but are not limited to, licensing of the campus’s names, symbols, and insignia; supporting workers’ rights and fair labor practices; sponsorship of products; and corporate social responsibility more generally. The Committee will also review proposals to name campus buildings, classrooms, or other physical facilities for donors or other honorees.

Three of the faculty positions and the student positions shall be filled as follows. The Committee on Committees shall prepare, and the Senate shall approve, a list of candidates, with at least two nominees for each position to be filled. The Chancellor, in consultation with the Chair of the Senate Executive Committee, shall appoint members from the list. The Chancellor shall appoint up to two additional faculty members of the Chancellor’s choosing, in consultation with the Chair of the Senate Executive Committee, to bring the total to five.

The faculty members shall serve staggered, renewable terms of three years.

Continuing faculty members of the Committee and the expiration of their terms are as follows:

Sheldon Katz	LAS	2019
<i>Bruce Rosenstock</i>	<i>LAS</i>	<i>2017</i>
Wendy Shelburne	LIBR	2018
Scott Tainsky	AHS	2018
Lesley Wexler	LAW	2019

**NOMINATIONS**

Committee on Committees recommends approval of the following slate of nominees to fill one faculty vacancy with a term ending 2020. If no additional nominations are made, the two nominees below will be forwarded to the Chancellor for selection of one faculty member to serve.

David Dubin	SIS
Bruce Rosenstock	LAS

**COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES**

- Nancy O’Brien, Chair
- Roy Campbell
- Tim Flanagan
- Daniel Gilbert
- David Hanley
- Jennifer Monson
- Annalisa Roncone
- Ryan Schiffer
- Joyce Tolliver
- Jenny Roether, *ex officio*

*Nominations from the floor must be accompanied by the nominee's signed statement of willingness to serve if elected. The statement shall be dated and include the name of the position to be filled. If present, the nominee's oral statement will suffice.*



**UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE**  
Committee on Committees  
(Final; Action)

**CC.18.09** Nominations for Membership on Standing Committees of the Senate and Representatives to Other Bodies

**Academic Freedom and Tenure**

To fill one faculty vacancy created by the resignation of Eurydice Bauer (EDUC).

Pradeep Dhillon	EDUC	Term Expires 2018
-----------------	------	-------------------

**University Statutes and Senate Procedures**

To fill one faculty vacancy created by the resignation of Michael Grossman (ACES).

Dorothee Schneider	LAS	Term Expires 2019
--------------------	-----	-------------------

**University Student Life**

To fill one faculty vacancy created by the resignation of Jason Chambers (MDA).

Rummana Alam	LAW	Term Expires 2018
--------------	-----	-------------------

To fill one student vacancy created by the resignation of Bobby Knier (LAS).

Niharika Roychoudhury	LAS	Term Expires 2018
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

**COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES**

Nancy O'Brien, Chair  
Roy Campbell  
Tim Flanagan  
Daniel Gilbert  
David Hanley  
Jennifer Monson  
Annalisa Roncone  
Ryan Schiffer  
Joyce Tolliver  
Jenny Roether, *ex officio*

Nominations from the floor must be accompanied by the nominee's statement of willingness to serve if elected. The statement shall be dated and include the name of the position to be filled. If present, the nominee's oral statement will suffice. All nominations must be in accordance with Senate *Bylaws*.



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE

Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures  
(First Reading; Information)

SP.14.06 Proposed Revisions to the *Statutes*, Article XIII, Section 8 – to authorize the University Senates Conference or the President to initiate revisions to the *Statutes*

**BACKGROUND**

These proposed revisions to the University *Statutes*, Article XII, Section 8, were transmitted from the University Senates Conference (USC) on September 6, 2017, along with a background (reproduced in part below). As USC notes, these proposed modifications refine their April 2017 additions to changes approved by the Senates of all three campuses in 2014. The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures (USSP) then identified some additional revisions that would clarify Article XII, Section 8.

USC's September background statement reads in part:

Revisions to Article XIII, Section 8 of the *Statutes* were drafted and proposed by the University Senates Conference in January of 2013, and approved with clarifying amendments by all three university Senates by April of 2014. USC collected the advice of the three Senates and transmitted ST-79 to the President in June of 2014. Because of the large packet of changes to the *Statutes* and *General Rules* already being reviewed by the President, no further action was taken on ST-79 at that time.

The purpose of the [ST-79] revisions was to articulate a formal process for the University Senates Conference to initiate proposals for changes to the *Statutes*. [...] As the President began his review of the larger packet of *Statutes* revisions, he noted the anomaly that the *Statutes* allowed others to propose amendments, but there was no provision for him to do so. Therefore, he asked that the President also be allowed to propose revisions to the *Statutes*. The rationale was as follows:

- This opportunity would facilitate the collaborative relationship between USC and the President's Office, while maintaining the existing consultative review process;
- Under the current *Statutes*, the President's position is limited to merely accepting or rejecting proposed revisions to the *Statutes*, in contrast to the Board, the Senates, and (if ST-79 is approved), the University Senates Conference;
- Since the *Statutes* endow the President with the responsibility for enforcing the rules and regulations of the University, it follows that the President should also have an authorized path to proposing changes to those rules.

In the past, presidents have indirectly proposed amendments to the *Statutes* by suggesting potential revisions to the Board of Trustees to “propose” on their behalf. In USC’s view, it is preferable that the record clearly show the provenance of the proposed amendment. From a governance point of view, amendments proposed by the President will benefit from early consultation with the system-wide faculty elected to represent the Senates.

With the adoption of the language proposed for ST-79, regardless of where proposed changes originate, the three university Senates must always be involved in the review, approval, or possible revision of any *Statutes* changes.

USC’s September 2017 proposed language (ST-79) appears without any USSP modifications as an appendix, and includes three changes: (1) altering the Senate-approved “introduced” to “approved” in 8.a.1; (2) including the President as an initiator of *Statutes* revisions in 8.a.2; (3) striking one sentence from the April 2017 set of revisions in 8.a.3.

USSP now proposes the following additional revisions in its Recommendation below:

- Line 4: modify the title of Article XIII, Section 8.a to reflect the content of these changes
- Lines 5-6: strike language already covered by each Senate’s governance documents
- Lines 6-11: strike a repetition of the sentence on final action that USC struck later in its draft; add a repetition of the sentence “Final action in each senate on the proposed amendment may be taken by a majority of all members present and voting at a regular or special meeting held not earlier than the next meeting following the one at which it was introduced in that senate.” to match lines 32-35.
- Strike lines 11-14: this language does not accord with current practice, though Senate staff do transmit documents in ways similar to the intention of these lines.
- Merge a.2 and a.3 into one section, as both paragraphs are part of the same process dealing with amendments originating from USC or the President that will be transmitted to the Senates

## RECOMMENDATION

The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommends approval of the following revisions to the *Statutes*, Article XIII, Section 8. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is indicated by ~~strikeout~~ (e.g., ~~sample text for deletion~~).

## PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATUTES, ARTICLE XIII, SECTION 8

1

### 2 ARTICLE XIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### 3 Section 8. Amendments

##### 4 a. Initiation by a Senate, the University Senates Conference, or the President

5 (1) Each of the senates ~~by vote of a majority of all members present and voting at a~~

6 ~~regular or special meeting~~ may propose amendments to these *Statutes*. ~~No final~~  
7 ~~senate action shall be taken on a proposed amendment until the next meeting~~  
8 ~~following the one at which it was introduced.~~ Final action in each senate on the  
9 proposed amendment may be taken by a majority of all members present and  
10 voting at a regular or special meeting held not earlier than the next meeting  
11 following the one at which it was introduced in that senate. ~~The secretary of a~~  
12 ~~senate shall notify the secretary of the other senates and the secretary of the~~  
13 ~~University Senates Conference of the text of a proposed amendment promptly~~  
14 ~~after the meeting at which it is introduced.~~ The proposed amendment shall be  
15 referred to the University Senates Conference for its consideration and  
16 transmission to the other senates for action; the conference may append its  
17 comments and recommendations. [continue here without paragraph break]  
18 The proposed amendment shall be placed promptly on the agenda of the other  
19 senates.

20 (2) The University Senates Conference by vote of a majority of all members present  
21 and voting at a regular or special meeting may propose amendments to these  
22 *Statutes*. The President may also propose amendments and refer them to the  
23 University Senates Conference for its consideration, comment, and  
24 transmission to the senates for action. The secretary of the conference shall  
25 notify the secretaries of the campus senates of the text of a proposed  
26 amendment promptly after the meeting at which it is introduced. The  
27 proposed amendment shall be transmitted to the senates for such action as each  
28 of them shall see fit; the conference may append its comments. The proposed  
29 amendment shall be placed promptly on the agenda of each senate.

30 Each senate may act on the proposed amendment in accord with its own  
31 established procedures, including the right to accept, to modify, or to reject any  
32 proposed amendment or proposed statutory text. Final action in each senate on  
33 the proposed amendment may be taken by a majority of all members present  
34 and voting at a regular or special meeting held not earlier than the next meeting  
35 following the one at which it was introduced in that senate.

36 (3) If every senate acts affirmatively on the proposed amendment and concurs as to  
37 its text, the conference shall send the proposed amendment to the president for  
38 transmission to the Board of Trustees and shall simultaneously notify the  
39 senates of its action; the conference may append its comments. If the senates do  
40 not agree as to the proposed amendment, the conference shall endeavor to  
41 promote agreement of the senates. Where agreement cannot be effected among  
42 all the senates within a reasonable period of time, but the text of a proposed  
43 amendment has been agreed upon by all but one of the senates, the conference  
44 shall send that proposed amendment, the recommendations of the dissenting  
45 senate, and its own recommendations to the president for transmission to the  
46 Board of Trustees and shall simultaneously notify the senates of its action. A

47 senate may record and send its further comments to the president for  
48 transmission to the Board of Trustees.

- 49 *b. Initiation by the Board of Trustees.* The Board of Trustees may initiate proposals to  
50 amend the *Statutes*, but the board shall not finally adopt any such proposal without  
51 first seeking the advice of the president, the senates, and the University Senates  
52 Conference. Any proposal to amend the *Statutes* which is initiated by the Board of  
53 Trustees shall be transmitted through the president to the University Senates  
54 Conference and transmitted by the conference, with its recommendations, to the  
55 senates for consideration and advice. The proposed amendment shall be placed  
56 promptly on the agenda of each of the senates. If the senates do not agree in their  
57 advice concerning the proposed amendment, the conference shall endeavor to  
58 promote agreement; where agreement cannot be achieved within a reasonable  
59 period of time, the conference shall send the advice of the senates and its own  
60 recommendations to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees and  
61 shall simultaneously notify the senates of its action. A senate may record and send  
62 its further comments to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees.
- 63 *c.* An amendment shall become effective when approved by the Board of Trustees or  
64 at such later time as the board may specify.

UNIVERSITY STATUTES AND SENATE PROCEDURES

Shawn Gilmore, Chair

Balaji Baskaran

Nikita Borisov

H. George Friedman

Wendy Harris

William Maher

William Stevan

Jessica Mette, *Ex officio (designee)*

Jenny Roether, *Ex officio*

Sarah Zehr, *Observer*

Appendix: USC ST-79

USC ST-79

Approved 4/27/17  
Editorial revision  
9/1/17

(The underlined changes in black have already been approved by the senates. The proposed revisions of 4/27/17 are in blue underline. The editorial revision is in ~~blue strikethrough~~.)

**Section 8. Amendments**

*a. Initiation by a Senate or by the University Senates Conference.*

(1) Each of the senates by vote of a majority of all members present and voting at a regular or special meeting may propose amendments to these Statutes. No final senate action shall be taken on a proposed amendment until the next meeting following the one at which it was introduced. The secretary of a senate shall notify the secretary of the other senates and the secretary of the University Senates Conference of the text of a proposed amendment promptly after the meeting at which it is ~~introduced~~ approved. The proposed amendment shall be referred to the University Senates Conference for its consideration and transmission to the other senates for action; the conference may append its comments and recommendations. [continue here without paragraph break] The proposed amendment shall be placed promptly on the agenda of the other senates.

(2) The University Senates Conference by vote of a majority of all members present and voting at a regular or special meeting may propose amendments to these Statutes. ~~The President may also propose amendments and refer them to the University Senates Conference for its consideration, comment, and transmission to the senates for action.~~ The secretary of the conference shall notify the secretaries of the campus senates of the text of a proposed amendment promptly after the meeting at which it is introduced. The proposed amendment shall be transmitted to the senates for such action as each of them shall see fit; the conference may append its comments. The proposed amendment shall be placed promptly on the agenda of each senate.

(3) Each senate may act on the proposed amendment in accord with its own established procedures, including the right to accept, to modify,

or to reject any proposed amendment or proposed statutory text. ~~No final senate action shall be taken on a proposed amendment until the next meeting following the one at which it was introduced.~~ Final action in each senate on the proposed amendment may be taken by a majority of all members present and voting at a regular or special meeting held not earlier than the next meeting following the one at which it was introduced in that senate.

(4) If every senate acts affirmatively on the proposed amendment and concurs as to its text, the conference shall send the proposed amendment to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees and shall simultaneously notify the senates of its action; the conference may append its comments. If the senates do not agree as to the proposed amendment, the conference shall endeavor to promote agreement of the senates. Where agreement cannot be effected among all the senates within a reasonable period of time, but the text of a proposed amendment has been agreed upon by all but one of the senates, the conference shall send that proposed amendment, the recommendations of the dissenting senate, and its own recommendations to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees and shall simultaneously notify the senates of its action. A senate may record and send its further comments to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees.

b. *Initiation by the Board of Trustees.* The Board of Trustees may initiate proposals to amend the *Statutes*, but the board shall not finally adopt any such proposal without first seeking the advice of the president, the senates, and the University Senates Conference. Any proposal to amend the *Statutes* which is initiated by the Board of Trustees shall be transmitted through the president to the University Senates Conference and transmitted by the conference, with its recommendations, to the senates for consideration and advice. The proposed amendment shall be placed promptly on the agenda of each of the senates. If the senates do not agree in their advice concerning the proposed amendment, the conference shall endeavor to promote agreement; where agreement cannot be achieved within a reasonable period of time, the conference shall send the advice of the senates and its own recommendations to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees and shall simultaneously notify the senates of its action. A senate may record and send its further comments to the president for transmission to the Board of Trustees.

An amendment shall become effective when approved by the Board of Trustees or at such later time as the board may specify.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE

University Statutes and Senate Procedures  
(Final; Action)

SP.17.07 Proposed Revision to *Standing Rule 13* – Formation, Termination, Separation, Transfer, Merger, Change in Status, or Renaming of Units

**BACKGROUND**

The Senate Committee on Educational Policy (EPC) requested a revision to *Standing Rule 13* to clarify an ambiguity in the current rules on submitting notification to EPC of a substantive change in department enrollment, faculty, or degree programs. Consistent with the charge (*Bylaws*, Part D.8a) to EPC that the committee “shall examine, and make recommendations, to the Senate concerning appropriate action on, educational policy matters,” EPC wishes to clarify that submitting proposals on substantive modifications to departments or colleges, or their associated curricula, programs or degrees, is required for any substantive change.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommends approval of the following revisions to *Standing Rule 13*. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is ~~struck through~~:

**PROPOSED REVISION TO STANDING RULE 13**

**Formation, Termination, Separation, Transfer, Merger, Change in Status, or Renaming of Units**

- 1  
2 A. If a unit of academic governance or administration intends to make a significant change  
3 to the enrollment or faculty in a current degree, major, minor, concentration, or other  
4 educational program, it must first file with the Senate Committee on Educational Policy a  
5 statement proposal detailing its intent. ~~Any student, staff or faculty member or~~  
6 ~~administrator who believes such a change is being contemplated may also ask the~~  
7 ~~committee to determine whether the change would be of sufficient magnitude to require~~  
8 ~~submission.~~  
9  
10 After receiving the ~~statement~~ proposal, the committee may require verification that the  
11 proposing unit has informed all affected units, faculty and enrolled students. The  
12 committee may solicit comments from these and additional parties. Upon review of these  
13 materials, the committee shall determine what further action is required, including a  
14 public hearing, periodic re-evaluation of the proposal’s progress, or further Senate action.  
15  
16 For the purposes of this Standing Rule, “significant” changes shall ~~be~~ include those that  
17 are intended to last two years or more and that lead to ~~modification~~ an increase or  
18 decrease of faculty size by at least 25 percent or student enrollment by at least 25 percent  
19 (for programs with 100 or more students) or at least 50 percent (for programs with fewer  
20 than 100 students).  
21

22 Plans that seek would result in the eventual termination of a program require submission  
23 of a proposal requesting such termination and approval by the committee and the Senate  
24 before any action is taken to terminate admissions, transfer faculty or remove the  
25 program from a unit website or the campus Programs of Study.

26  
27 Any student, staff or faculty member or administrator who believes such a change is  
28 being contemplated may also ask the committee to determine whether the potential  
29 change would be of sufficient magnitude to require submission.

UNIVERSITY STATUTES AND SENATE PROCEDURES

Shawn Gilmore, Chair  
Balaji Baskaran  
Nikita Borisov  
H. George Friedman  
Wendy Harris  
William Maher  
William Stevan  
Jessica Mette, *Ex officio (designee)*  
Jenny Roether, *Ex officio*  
Sarah Zehr, *Observer*

**UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE**

University Statutes and Senate Procedures  
(Final; Action)

SP.17.15      Revision to the *Bylaws*, Part D.10 – Senate Committee on Equal Opportunity and Inclusion

**BACKGROUND**

As currently constituted by *Bylaws*, Part D.10, the Senate Committee on Equal Opportunity and Inclusion (EQ) consists of five faculty members, one academic professional, two students and up to three *ex officio* members, who are recommended by the voting members and approved by the Senate, representing underrepresented, historically disadvantaged, or marginalized groups.

This committee is asked to address an increasingly complex charge, including: developing and supporting programs and guidelines that promote an equitable and welcoming campus environment for members of any underrepresented, historically disadvantaged, or marginalized groups; addressing academic and civil service employment, teaching, and research; addressing public service, admissions, financial aid, as well as educational policies, practices, and programs for undergraduate and graduate students; and addressing community projects and studies which relate to equal opportunity for disadvantaged and minority groups. The committee is also called upon to evaluate the “equal opportunity” posture of the campus, as well as communicate and cooperate with other campus and University offices and committees to establish and work toward these objectives, as well as with non-University community groups.

This range of responsibilities, and the need to work with various other offices on campus charged with similar missions, requires the EQ committee to sustain multiple projects concurrently. With its current composition, the EQ committee cannot fully address each of the possible projects or resolutions, nor follow up with effective programs or conduct outreach into diverse units and communities as needed. Increasing the membership size of the EQ committee would allow the committee to better address its workload, and would also make the committee more welcoming to visitors who come to share their concerns, as an increased membership would hopefully reflect a broader range of dimensions of diversity. Additionally, the Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommended, and EQ has approved, the addition of an *ex officio* designee of the Chancellor, to help coordinate with various campus-wide and administrative diversity efforts.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommends approval of the following revisions to the *Bylaws*, Part D.10. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is ~~struck through~~.

**PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE BYLAWS, PART D.10**

- 1 **Part D – Standing Committees**
- 2
- 3 **10. Committee on Equal Opportunity and Inclusion**

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

b. Membership

The Committee shall consist of:

1. ~~Five~~ Seven faculty members,
2. One academic professional member,
3. ~~Two~~ Three students,
4. One designee of the Chancellor (*ex officio*), and
5. Up to three ~~ex-officio~~ non-voting members, not necessarily members of the Senate electorate, recommended by the voting members and approved by the Senate, representing underrepresented, historically disadvantaged, or marginalized groups.

UNIVERSITY STATUTES AND SENATE PROCEDURES

Shawn Gilmore, Chair  
Balaji Baskaran  
Nikita Borisov  
H. George Friedman  
Wendy Harris  
William Maher  
William Stevan  
Jessica Mette, *Ex officio (designee)*  
Jenny Roether, *Ex officio*  
Sarah Zehr, *Observer*

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE

University Statutes and Senate Procedures  
(Final; Action)

SP.18.03 Revision to the *Bylaws*, Part D.7 – Conference on Conduct Governance

**BACKGROUND**

The current description of Conference on Conduct Governance refers to the Office of Campus Regulations and the role it played in the actions of the Conference. This Office no longer exists. The Office of the Dean of Students has assumed the duties formerly provided by the Office of Campus Regulations. The proposed revision in the *Bylaws* reflects this change. No change is proposed for section 7.d listing membership of the Conference.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommends approval of the following revisions to the *Bylaws*. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is ~~struck through~~:

**PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE BYLAWS, PART D.7**

**7. Conference on Conduct Governance**

a. Duties

Legislative Function of the Conference

The Conference is a Senate Committee which, in conjunction with the Chancellor, and with the assistance of the Office of ~~Campus Regulations~~ the Dean of Students, shall have the following legislative functions:

1. Review and transmit in writing to the Chancellor its approval, disapproval, or modification of standards of conduct (hereafter called "rules") initiated by subcommunities of the campus;
2. Initiate and recommend in writing to the Chancellor adoption of additional rules it deems desirable;
3. File with the Office of ~~Campus Regulations~~ the Dean of Students and with the Clerk of the Senate a notice of such transmittals and recommendations including the text of the rules; and
4. Periodically review the conduct governance system and make general recommendations to the Chancellor and the Senate regarding revision of the system. To this end, it may request reports from members of the system.

b. Authority and Jurisdiction

1. Rules filed with the Office of ~~Campus Regulations~~ the Dean of Students and with the Clerk of the Senate shall become effective thirty (30) days after filing unless contrary action has been taken by the Chancellor. The Senate may submit its recommendations to the Chancellor with respect to proposed rule changes. To this end, the thirty (30) day period may be extended by the Senate or Senate Executive Committee to ninety (90) days, excluding the period May 16 through August 15.
2. The jurisdiction of the Conference shall not extend to (1) rules governing conduct arising within the duties and obligations imposed by the contract of employment

30 and within the scope of the particular duties and responsibilities of the person  
31 employed; (2) those rules which are adopted or imposed in the course of the  
32 purchase of goods or services; or (3) rules on purely academic matters, including  
33 requirements for degrees and standards of academic performance, except that the  
34 Conference shall have jurisdiction over academic irregularities such as cheating,  
35 plagiarism, falsification of records, or destruction of teaching, research, or library  
36 books, documents, or files.

37 c. ~~An~~The Office of ~~Campus Regulations~~ the Dean of Students shall ~~be constituted~~ function  
38 as a staff agency for the Conference. Two functions of the Office shall be:

- 39 1. Serve as a registry for rules adopted or approved by the Conference and accepted  
40 by the Chancellor; and
- 41 2. Publish annually (by August 1 of each year) in a convenient form all rules  
42 adopted by the Conference and accepted by the Chancellor which are applicable  
43 to the entire community, or to some subcommunity thereof, and cause  
44 amendments to the rules as they are from time to time adopted to be published  
45 and disseminated to the University community.

46 All rules, both those adopted by particular University authorities and those adopted by the  
47 Conference on its own initiative, shall be promulgated by the Office of ~~Campus~~  
48 ~~Regulations~~ the Dean of Students by special publications, regularly issued newsletters or  
49 bulletins, and periodic codification. The Office shall maintain an up-to-date file of rules  
50 in force which may be consulted by any interested person. It shall serve as clerk to the  
51 Conference for recording and promulgating its actions, for keeping a record of its  
52 meetings, and for any other purpose the Conference determines.

53  
54 The staff of the Office shall be under the supervision of one or more of the vice  
55 chancellors who will consult with the Chair of the Conference regarding staff functions  
56 and appointments.

UNIVERSITY STATUTES AND SENATE PROCEDURES

Shawn Gilmore, Chair

Balaji Baskaran

Nikita Borisov

H. George Friedman

Wendy Harris

William Maher

William Stevan

Jessica Mette, *Ex officio (designee)*

Jenny Roether, *Ex officio*

Sarah Zehr, *Observer*

**UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE**

University Statutes and Senate Procedures  
(Final; Action)

SP.18.06      Revision to the *Bylaws*, Part D.14 – Committee on Information Technology

**BACKGROUND**

The Senate Committee on Information Technology (IT) discusses a variety of issues concerning information technology at the University including those around research computing, administrative computing, and instructional computing. There has been discussion by IT that it would be beneficial to have a representative from the Center for Innovation in Teaching & Learning (CITL) at the committee meetings for input, guidance, or comment. Of note, the executive officers of both Technology Services and Administrative Information Technology Services (AITS) already have *ex officio* roles on the committee. With CITL’s central campus service role in online education as well as technology-enhanced instruction, there is a parallel to be drawn that would indicate their inclusion as well. For these reasons, IT believes it would be appropriate for the CITL Director to join the committee in a non-voting *ex officio* capacity.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Senate Committee on University Statutes and Senate Procedures recommends approval of the following revisions to the *Bylaws*, Part D.14. Text to be added is underscored and text to be deleted is ~~struck through~~.

**PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE *BYLAWS*, PART D.14**

**Part D – Standing Committees**

**14. Committee on Information Technology**

b. Membership

The Committee shall consist of:

1. Eight faculty members, with no more than two coming from any single college,
2. One academic professional member,
3. Two student members,
4. The Campus Chief Information Officer (CIO) or the CIO’s designee (*ex officio*), ~~and~~
5. The University Associate Vice President for Administrative Information Technology Services or designee (*ex officio*), and
6. The director of the Center for Innovation in Teaching & Learning (CITL) or the director’s designee (*ex officio*).

UNIVERSITY STATUTES AND SENATE PROCEDURES

Shawn Gilmore, Chair

Balaji Baskaran

Nikita Borisov

H. George Friedman

Wendy Harris

William Maher

William Stevan

Jessica Mette, *Ex officio (designee)*

Jenny Roether, *Ex officio*

Sarah Zehr, *Observer*

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
URBANA-CHAMPAIGN SENATE  
Senate Committee on Educational Policy  
(Final; Information)

EP.18.26 Report of Administrative Approvals at the November 6, 2017 meeting of the EPC.

**Undergraduate Programs**

**BS in Middle Grades Education**– in the Science Concentration, remove IB 109, Insects and People (3 hours), from the list of Life Science courses (Applications list) from which students are to complete 6-8 hours (with a minimum of 3 of those hours from the Foundations list, the other 3-5 can be within the Foundations list or from the Applications list). The reason for this request is that the School of Integrative Biology will be discontinuing IB 109.

The current Academic Catalog for the Science Concentration is listed as:

*Life Science: Complete 6 hours with a minimum of 3 hours from the foundations list. 6-8*

*Foundations:*

*IB 100, Biology in Today's World*

*IB 103, Introduction to Plant Biology*

*IB 104, Animal Biology*

*IB 150, Organismal & Evolutionary Biol*

*MCB 100, Introductory Microbiology*

*MCB 150, Molec & Cellular Basis of Life*

*Applications:*

*IB 105, Environmental Biology*

*IB 109, Insects and People*

*MCB 170, Society and the Brian*

*MCB 180, Human Reproduction & Society*

The revised Academic Catalog would be:

*Life Science: Complete 6-8 hours with a minimum of 3 hours from the foundations list.*

*Foundations (minimum of 3 hours required):*

*IB 100, Biology in Today's World*

*IB 103, Introduction to Plant Biology*

*IB 104, Animal Biology*

*IB 150, Organismal & Evolutionary Biol*

*MCB 100, Introductory Microbiology*

*MCB 150, Molec & Cellular Basis of Life*

*Applications:*

*IB 105, Environmental Biology*

*MCB 170, Society and the Brain*

*MCB 180, Human Reproduction & Society*

This revision does not change the number of hours required for the Life Science requirement, for the concentration, or for the major.